# Extended nonlocal games and monogamy-of-entanglement games

Nathaniel Johnston <sup>1,2</sup> Rajat Mittal <sup>3</sup> Vincent Russo <sup>4</sup> John Watrous <sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Mount Allison University

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Quantum Computing and Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, IIT Kanpur <sup>4</sup>Institute for Quantum Computing and School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

<sup>5</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto arxiv:1510.02083





#### Extended nonlocal games

#### Standard quantum strategies

#### Extended NPA hierarchy

An **extended nonlocal game** is a generalization of nonlocal games where the *referee also holds a quantum system*, provided to it by Alice and Bob at the start of the game.



**Preparation stage**: Alice and Bob supply the referee with

a quantum system.

Question stage: Referee randomly selects questions x ∈ X for Alice, y ∈ Y for Bob according to distribution π.
Answer stage: Alice responds with a ∈ A, Bob responds with b ∈ B.
Evaluation stage: Pay-off is determined by an observable V(a, b|x, y) ∈ Herm(ℂ<sup>m</sup>) where m is the dimension of the referee's quantum system.

A standard quantum strategy consists of finitedimensional complex Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  as well as the following:

Shared state:  $\rho \in D(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ Measurements:  $\{A_a^x\} \subset Pos(\mathcal{A}), \quad \{B_b^y\} \subset Pos(\mathcal{B}).$ 

The expected **pay-off** for a quantum strategy is:

 $\sum_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \pi(x,y) \sum_{(a,b)\in A\times B} \left\langle V(a,b|x,y)\otimes A_a^x\otimes B_b^y,\rho\right\rangle.$ 

The **quantum value**  $(\omega^*(G))$ , is the supremum of the pay-off over all quantum strategies for G.

## **Unentangled** strategies

In an **unentangled strategy** Alice and Bob provide

• Not known how to calculate  $\omega^*(G)$  in general.

NPA hierarchy: The NPA hierarchy is a heuristic to upper bound  $\omega^*(G)$  for nonlocal games [1, 2].

Extended NPA hierarchy: We introduce the extended NPA hierarchy that places upper bounds on ω\*(G) for extended nonlocal games.
ω\*(G) <sup>?</sup> = ω<sub>c</sub>(G) is a big open question, and the NPA

hierarchy yields increasingly better approximations on  $\omega_c(G)$ .

Entangled vs. unentangled strategies for monogamy games

**Result:** For any monogamy-of-entanglement game G where |X| = 2 it holds that  $\omega(G) = \omega^*(G)$ .

Monogamy-of-entanglement games

A monogamy-of-entanglement game [3] is a type of extended nonlocal game where the referee performs a measurement and accepts iff Alice's output, Bob's output, and the Referee's measurement output are the *same*.

The BB84 monogamy-of-entanglement game,  $G_{BB84}$ , is defined in the following way. Let m = 2, let  $X = A = \{0, 1\}$ , and define

For x = 0:  $R(0|0) = |0\rangle \langle 0|$ ,  $R(1|0) = |1\rangle \langle 1|$ ,

the referee with a pure state  $\rho \in D(\mathcal{R})$  and Alice responds to  $x \in X$  with a = f(x) and Bob responds to  $y \in Y$  with b = g(y). From convexity the **unentangled value** is achieved by some deterministic strategy, and may be represented as  $\omega(G) = \max_{f,g} \lambda_{\max} \left( \sum_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \pi(x,y) V(f(x),g(y)|x,y) \right)$ where  $\lambda_{\max}$  is the largest eigenvalue and where the max-

imum is over all functions  $f: X \to A$  and  $g: Y \to B$ .

**Commuting measurement strategies** 

A commuting measurement strategy consists of a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  as well as the following: Shared state:  $\rho \in D(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ Measurements:  $\{A_a^x\} \subset Pos(\mathcal{H}), \{B_b^y\} \subset Pos(\mathcal{H})$  • Class of monogamy-of-entanglement games where entanglement *does not* help the players.

**Result:** There exists a monogamy-of-entanglement game G where |X| = 4 where  $\omega(G) < \omega^*(G)$ .

• Example of monogamy-of-entanglement game where entanglement *does* help the players

Parallel repetition of monogamy games

**Result**: For any monogamy-of-entanglement game defined in terms of projective measurements where |X| = 2, it holds that  $\omega^*(G^n) = \omega^*(G)^n$ .

### References

For x = 1:  $R(0|1) = |+\rangle \langle +|, R(1|1) = |-\rangle \langle -|.$ Then  $G_{\text{BB84}} = (\pi, R)$  where  $\pi(0) = \pi(1) = 1/2.$ 

ω(G) = ω\*(G) = cos<sup>2</sup>(π/8) [3].
Entanglement does not help Alice and Bob in G<sub>BB84</sub>.

•  $\omega(G^n) = \omega^*(G^n) = (\cos^2(\pi/8))^n$  [3]. • Strong parallel repetition holds for  $G_{\text{BB84}}$ . satisfying the constraints that  $[A_a^x, B_b^y] = 0$ , for all  $x \in X, y \in Y, a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$ .

The expected **pay-off** for a commuting measurement strategy is given by

 $\sum_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \pi(x,y) \sum_{(a,b)\in A\times B} \left\langle V(a,b|x,y) \otimes A^x_a B^y_b, \rho \right\rangle.$ 

The **commuting measurement value** ( $\omega_c(G)$ ), is the supremum of the pay-off over all commuting measurement strategies. [1] M. Navascués, S. Pironio, and A. Acín. Phys. Rev. Lett., 98:010401, 2007.

 [2] M. Navascués, S. Pironio, and A. Acín. New Journal of Physics, 10(7):073013, 2008.

 [3] M. Tomamichel, S. Fehr, J. Kaniewski, S. Wehner. New Journal of Physics, 15(10):103002, 2013.

[4] R. Cleve, P. Hoyer, B. Toner, J. Watrous. *Computational Complexity*, 2004.

[5] M. Grant, S. Boyd, Y. Ye. CVX: MATLAB software for disciplined convex programming, 2008.